

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/757,342	01/14/2004	Chih Min Lin	010121-9745-00	3481		
23409	7590 02/07/2005		EXAMINER			
	BEST & FRIEDRICH	MULLINS, BURTON S				
	ONSIN AVENUE EE, WI 53202	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,		2834			
		DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•		CN			
	-	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/757,342		LIN, CHIH MIN				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	·	Burton S. Mu	ıllins	2834				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for I	• •							
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Index for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply index for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, y within the statutor vill apply and will ex , cause the applica	however, may a reply be time y minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONED	will be considered timely he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)∏ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on							
•	· ·	– action is non	-final.					
3) <u></u> Si	, 							
cle	osed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quay	de, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ C	laim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) <u>2-6</u> is/are objected to.							
	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election req	uirement.					
Application	n Papers							
	•	r						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) <u></u> 1.	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been r	received.					
	Certified copies of the priority documents							
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the prior			d in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	•						
* See	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certifie	d copies not received	d.				
Attachment(s))							
_	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	-	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·) 152)			
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Pa	кент аррисацоп (РТС	J-104)			

Application/Control Number: 10/757,342 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 27 April 2004 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: Change "contracts" to – contacts--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spedale et al. (US 6,710,271) in view of Bloser (US 2,858,392). Spedale teaches a centrifugal switch for a motor (not shown, c.3, lines 30-45), the motor having a housing with an end (inherent to the motor disclosed in c.3, lines 30-45); a motor shaft (not shown, c.4, line 1) having an end adjacent said motor housing end (see Fig.1); means for rotatably mounting said motor shaft in said motor housing (i.e., bearings, inherent to a motor with a shaft), the orientation shaft defining a motor shaft axis direction (inherent); an opening in said motor

housing that provides access to said motor shaft end from outside said motor housing (inherent); a module housing 12 having an end (not numbered, Fig.1); a module shaft 40 having an end 42 adjacent said module housing end (Fig.1); means for rotatably mounting said module shaft in said module housing in said shaft axis direction (i.e., bearing 46, c.4, lines 2-5); an opening 44 in said module housing 12 that provides access to said module shaft end 42 from outside said module housing (Fig.1), means for mounting said module housing end on said motor housing end (not numbered, holes on either side of housing 12, Fig.1); means for drivingly connecting said module shaft end 42 to said motor shaft end (connector not shown, c.3, line 67-c.4, line 2); means for preventing said module housing from rotating relative to said motor housing (inherent to means for mounting the housings together, Fig.1), said module shaft end and said motor shaft end being located relative to their respective housings so that they can be drivingly connected to each other without the module housing and the motor housing interfering with the connection (inherent to the location of the shaft ends relative to their respective housings, Fig.1).

Spedale differs in that the holes shown in Fig.1 for mounting the module to the motor does not explicitly include "means for slidably attaching for shaft axis direction movement relative to each other said module housing and said motor housing".

Bloser teaches a means for mounting a module (comprising an electromagnetic device for controlling motor speed) to a motor 10 using plural stud bolts 31 extending from the motor and connecting to ring member 30 and support plate 50 of the module (Fig.1, c.2, lines 28-35 and 56-61). The bolts provide support for the module relative to the motor (c.2, lines 27-30). Furthermore, it is apparent that the module slides in the direction of the motor shaft 14

relative to the motor.

(axially) onto the bolts since Bloser describes springs 33 which provide a slight degree of axial

motion of the ring 30 on the bolts 31 (Fig.1, c.2, lines 29-31).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Spedale with a means for axially, slidably attaching a module to a motor such as the bolts taught by Bloser since they would have provided support for the module

Regarding claim 7, note Spedale Fig.1 which shows a spring housing (on top of mechanical components chamber 16) and a central governor including a spring 30 and two L-shaped governor or "swing" arms 70 and 90.

Regarding claim 8, note Spedale Figs.1-2 which show operation of the snap-switch comprising contacts 22 and 28.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach the subject matter directed to the means for drivingly connecting the module shaft end to the motor shaft end, i.e., keyed or threaded shaft ends with respective mating keyed or threaded opening in the other shaft ends (claims 2 and 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/757,342 Page 5

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Burton S. Mullins whose telephone number is 571-272-2029. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9 am to 5 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Burton S. Mullins Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834

MUUL >

bsm

27 January 2005